

	<b>Call for proposals</b> <b>CEP&amp;S</b>	
	<i>Expert Review</i>	<b>2010 Edition</b>

Project	
<b>Acronym</b>	
<b>Title</b>	

Project Coordinator			
<b>First Name</b>		<b>Name</b>	
<b>Phone</b>		<b>Email</b>	
<b>Institution</b>			

Expert			
<b>First Name</b>		<b>Name</b>	
<b>Phone</b>		<b>Email</b>	
<b>Institution</b>			

5 : Outstanding; 4 : Very good; 3 : Good; 2 : Average; 1 : Weak; 0 : Unacceptable

1. Relevance of the proposal to the call / Pertinence de la proposition au regard de l'appel à projets	Mark

Evaluation criteria:

- degree of fit with the themes of the call
- degree of fit with the recommendations provided in the call

2. Technical and scientific quality of the proposal / Qualité scientifique et technique de la proposition	Mark

Evaluation criteria:

- scientific excellence relative to state-of-the-art in the project's field,
- innovative character of the proposal, in terms of both technological innovation and opening new perspectives compared to the status quo,
- solutions to technical barriers,
- degree of integration of various scientific sub-fields or fields.

3. Project Management ; Mastering the project as a tool for delivering scientific and technological results / Qualité de la construction et de la gestion du projet	Mark

Evaluation criteria:

- the positioning of the proposal relative to state-of-the-art and technological innovation,
- the project's scientific and technical feasibility, and the methods chosen,
- the way the project is build, the rigor with which deliverables have been defined, the identification of milestones,
- the quality of the project coordination plan (the financial and legal management of the project) including the experience and level of involvement of the principal coordinator,
- strategies for applying and transferring the final results of the project.

<b>4. Global impact of the project / Impact global du projet</b>	Mark

Evaluation criteria:

- interest in term of environmental challenge (to be quantified as much as possible)
- potential for use or integration of project findings by the scientific or industrial communities or society, the impact of the project in terms of new knowledge production,
- the outlook for industrial or technological application or a demonstration of economic and commercial potential, possible business plans resulting from findings, integration into industrial activity, the credibility of the declared transferability,
- value of the project for society, e.g. public health, etc.
- when appropriate, the response to questions of environmental impact.

<b>5. Quality of the consortium / Qualité du consortium</b>	Mark

Evaluation criteria:

- the level of scientific excellence and expertise of the research teams involved,
- the degree of fit between the partnership and the scientific and technical objectives,
- the degree of complementarity among partners,
- the degree of openness to other actors,
- an active role for private sector partners.

<b>6. Mobilisation of the resources / Moyens humains et financiers</b>	Mark

Evaluation criteria:

- realistic schedule
- means well-adapted to project implementation,
- justification of amount of funding requested in light of the project,
- costs of coordination in proportion to the project,
- justification of personnel needs,
- justification of temporary personnel needs (interns, Ph.D students, post-docs),
- proper estimate of the amount of needed equipment purchase and investment,
- proper estimate of other budget items (researcher mobility, sub-contracting, supplies...).

<b>7. Other criteria relevant to the call / Autres critères spécifiques à l'appel à projets</b>	Mark

/

<b>8. General Report / Avis Général</b>
• Strengths of the proposal / Points forts de la proposition
• Weaknesses of the proposal / Points faibles de la proposition
• Summary of the report / Résumé de l'avis
• Recommendations and advice / Commentaires et recommandations

<b>OVERALL MARK / NOTATION D'ENSEMBLE</b>	Mark
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>A</b> : To consider in main list</li> <li>• <b>B</b> : To consider in additional list</li> <li>• <b>C</b> : Not to be considered</li> </ul>	